



Freemasons for Africa: The Anglo Boer War

By Edgar Trollip

11/15/2022

Introduction

The Southern tip of Africa has become a mixed masala of the masses . If you go downtown in most of the cities you are bound to find Bangladeshis, Pakistanis, Indians, Chinese, Ethiopians, Libians, Somalians, Eritreans, Egyptians, Algerians, Nigerians, Malawians, Zimbabweans and so many others. Many have made The Rainbow Nation their refuge.

I can understand why so many foreigners have opted for migrating to South Africa. It is, in many ways, better than their native alternatives. But the Rainbow nation is on a ball and chain.

If Johann Rupert calls Cyril Ramaphosa, the South African president goes out of his way to make sure he answers the call of the wealthiest man in the country.

It won't surprise me if Cyril answers "Yes, boss", to his puppet masters.

Sadly, over the last 3 years, I have begun to realise how captured our state and country in general, has become.

As you begin to peel back the layers of the rotten onion, it becomes clear, the state is only the tool of the elites. The GODvernment is mostly stocked with useful idiots for the master's bidding.

But you can vote who should represent you Edgar. You have a say, right?

Yes, you can vote for your favourite person or party.

This is called the illusion of choice. ANC, DA, EFF or VF+, it doesn't matter. They will all dance to the same tune of the snake charmers.

Have you ever wondered why?

Or who sowed the tares in our obscure past that have now sprung up?

I do not want this article to dilute into a political modge podge. It is and was never about black vs white or ANC vs DA or left vs right. It has always been about light vs darkness. Yah vs the elohim.

Our fight is not against flesh and blood but against the powers and principalities of the air.

It does not matter who is in power on the political front. The powers of darkness behind the curtain cause nations to be divided and eventually conquered. The powers of darkness have established and harnessed the Freemasons as one of their favourite vehicles.

You see, money talks. Mammon needs to be fed frequently. And that's the reason you will struggle to separate Mammon from the Freemasons. Just follow the money.

Via colonialism, they have always endeavored to steal and get their filthy claws on the precious pearls of the fruitful native lands. Freemasons just love a good scramble for diamonds and gold. There is no better way to make friends than shooting the locals and taking what you want, right?

I wish I could say South Africa was different.

But it is not.

South Africa was sold into slavery while still in her infancy. Seemingly to her majesty through her Freemason minions. Most colonised countries were. They all have a similar sad story. Classic copy and paste from the Empire. Why would her majesty change a recipe that works?

Like me, you do not need to be a historian to smell a rat. In this case, the stench of blood money. The rolling hills and lush valleys of the Southern tip of Africa sadly have the battle scars to prove the plunder.

Decades later we still suffer from the painful contractions of selfish men's ill begotten gains.

Many will argue about the corruption and misfires of 1652. The year 1652 marks the landing of *blerrie* white men and their multifarious injustices to the South African natives.

It is as clear as plain day-light if you read *Rogues' Gallery* by Blackman and Dall and *The Lie of 1652* by Mellet, that we have been fed codswallop for history.

Yes, there was corruption and yes, there was injustice even from Van Riebeeck (1654) to Willem Adriaan Van Der Stel (1699 to 1707). I do agree. I will maybe write about that in the future. And yes, if you dig half an inch deep you will find the Freemasons at the heart of it as well.

But, to the best of my understanding, the critical period Freemasons got a firm grip of the whole of Southern Africa was before and after the Anglo Boer War. For context, let's back the *bakkie* up to Rhodes.

All roads lead to Rhodes

The discovery of diamonds and gold in South Africa attracted all kinds of slimy gritters. Southern Africa's favorite Freemason, Cecil John Rhodes, was at the head of the plundering. He was the Monopoly Man, in the real sense. He had (almost) successfully captured the entire world market with his monopoly in the diamond mining business.

Just a pro tip for fellow investigators; if you see a monopoly given to a shady character, you can plant a red flag (or a flapping moon flag) there. Something is off.

After suspicious deals and corrupt government tenders, Rhodes was in the pound seat. Rhodes was the voice in the imperial wilderness crying out for the British Crown to prepare the way for mass scale murders and land grabs. His support for the disastrous Jameson Raid of 1895 should have been a clear indicator of his character. But, hey, Brittain can throw a blind eye or two as long as there is something in it for the crown.

I can probably write another blog post on Rhodes' connection to the Rothchild family. Let's just say if "wealth and power" was a pie, you can bet the Rothchild's have got a fat finger in it.

For the humble purposes of this article, it is good to know that the Rothchilds were the main investors to enable Rhodes to buy out the competing mining companies.

Mr. Rhodes won't be the main character in today's article. However, his contribution to plundering and Masonic groundwork laid the foundation for what was to come.

Rhodes, the Freemason

On the day Rhodes became a member of the Freemasons, he wrote:

“... I see **the wealth and power they (the Freemasons) possess, the influence they hold.** I think over their ceremonies and I wonder how a large body of men can devote themselves to, what at times appear, the most ridiculous and absurd rites...”

Don't let the end of the quote throw you off. It is just a smokescreen for his initial statement. You become pretty good at blowing smoke when you are on that level of dishonesty.

His initial observations was the concentration of power and influences vested in the brotherhood of Freemasons and their dodgy lodgy.

On 17 April 1877 Rhodes was raised a Master Mason. Rhodes was a Charter member of Bulawayo Lodge in 1895. Rhodes 'donated' the site on which the lodge was built. Rhodes was also part of the Ars Quatuor Coronatorum from November 1899, the premier lodge of Masonic research.

I guess you still have time on your hands to research the fine arts of Freemasonry, even though you are the largest mine magnate of diamonds in the world.

Freemason influx

Booming economic activity was attracting more ambitious miners and businesses. Like vultures to a carcass, Freemasons flock to pay homage to Mammon. Masonic Lodges were popping up like weeds on the government paving.

According to [Zoutpansberger](#), the lodges were thriving on both the Dutch and English sides.

By 1892, the Dutch Constitution's membership was estimated at 587.

In 1898, the English Constitution had 24 lodges with 1838 members. Eleven of these lodges were situated in the Zuid Afrikaanse Republiek (Boer Republics, if you will).

This does not sound like a lot, but keep in mind most statisticians reckon the total population of South Africa was 4.71 million during this time.

Paul Kruger

Paul Kruger was the South African President from 1883 to 1900. So during both Boer-Brit wars he was in charge of the Boers.

I am not about to say oom Paul was a Mason. Although his burial and all the pomp surrounding it is very suspicious. I cannot dig up anything connecting him to the Brotherhood, yet. As far as I know his world view and cosmology was very biblical.

Kruger fled the dry Transvaal by rail on 11 September 1900 for picturesque Mozambique. Unlike Kruger's usual die-hard attitude he crossed over the national borders before the war ended. Very unlike Kruger. Unless he knew something that we don't and the history books are not telling us.

After Paul Kruger's days were done the two main characters for the Boers were Louis Botha and Jan Smuts. Christiaan Rudolf de Wet and Koos De La Rey were also in the mix but the main blokes were Botha and Smuts.

Botha and Smuts

This is probably the part where Afrikaner nationalists come at me with their pitchforks. If you go to your local bookstore, undoubtedly, the biographies that are best represented from this period of South African history (or rather shoved down the public's throat), is that of Botha and Smuts.

There are more biographies on the duo than any other war hero or even president Kruger himself. Which begs the question, *why?*

Botha was and is held in high esteem and considered a volksheld (or national hero). Botha was a great strategist and valiant warrior. Make no mistake he knew his way around the veld and could shoot like hell fire is coming at you. He was a more compelling actor than Smuts. He hid the true agenda better than Smuts.

But on carefully studying Botha you will have some serious doubts about his allegiance to the Boers. Botha had multiple opportunities to totally defeat General White and General Buller. For some mysterious reason Botha could never put the final nail in the coffin to completely defeat the British foe in Natal even though he had the clear upperhand in numerous battles. Most historians say he lacked that ruthlessness of the British, but what if Botha just didn't want to shoot his fellow Masons?

That is a strawman argument, Edgar. Okay, but what about instances where Botha's prisoners of war conveniently escape. None, more famous than his fellow Freemason, Winston Churchill.

Churchill was a war journalist with the Morning Post. Churchill and company were gunned down by the boers on a derailed train.

Churchill was, conveniently, among the captives and not the dead. Do you want to take a guess who was the Boer that captured him? Right you are. Louis Botha. You can't make this stuff up.

There is a long, romantic story of how he escapes. I do not buy it for one second and neither should you. What do think happens when one Freemason captures another Freemason?

He was freed by his fellow Freemasons and entrusted him into the care of more freemasons. The hidden hand of the Freemasons was at work yet again.

Botha became the first official Prime Minister of South Africa in 1910. Churchill became Prime Minister of the United Kingdom in 1940. What are the chances of that?

Jan Smuts was Botha's fellow conspirator.

Smuts was also a brave fighter with the Boers. But obviously that was just another facade of what was about to transpire.

Smuts was groomed for something unique. He was chosen, or let's say 'granted' a Rhodes Scholarship and later practiced as an attorney. But his connection to Rhodes runs deeper than that.

There is reason to believe Smuts was an illegitimate child of Rhodes. Smuts' mother was employed by Rhodes as a servant. She suddenly left the position. Possibly because she became pregnant. She married Smuts' "biological father" in the Cape. This is difficult to prove, but the surface level facts from his 'sister' do point to something fishy and I am not talking about Musselcrackers.

Let's get back to Smuts' indoctrination. Whoops! I mean education.

Rhodes university has a purely academic agenda, right? Striving to recruit the finest young men and prep them for the Brotherhood. Most of Rhodes' university scholarships were given to sons of fellow Brothers or young men they handpicked to join the Brotherhood. Nothing spells education clearer than 'Masonic Brotherhood'.

Kruger needed someone like Smuts from an Afrikaner family with some education and hopeful intel from the enemy ranks. He had a close relationship with Kruger. He became the chief legal council for Kruger.

Kruger was keeping his friends close and his enemies closer. He only became aware of it though later on.

According to our Freemason-approved history books, Smuts attempted to persuade Lord Milner to avoid war at all cost during the Bloemfontein negotiations. But this, in my opinion is just another smoke and mirror trick to blur the lines. The Brothers were probably just kicking it back with some cigars and mampoer.

Smuts was the one that convinced the bittereinders (those who fought until the end) to lay their weapons down and sign the deal.

Now to put this into perspective, the bittereinders lost just about everything. Houses, wives, children, you name it. They had nothing left, but to fight. Surrender was not an option to them.

They only had freedom. Freedom to fight for. I wonder if we even know what this truly means. Despite this, Botha and Smuts (among others) were advocating signing the deal, known as the Treaty of Vereeniging. Eventually succeeded in convincing them to sign away their freedom. You can just imagine what hush money or kick-backs were promised to Smuts and Botha. It is no coincidence to see how these figures were exalted in the decades to follow.

Money, money, money

Her majesty was not fond of throwing pounds down the long drop. The 350 000 British soldiers on African turf hurt her bottom line and the payroll was not a pretty sight.

It has recently transpired that the British government could not have financially afforded the war for more than another month or so. According to documents released recently under the British Secrecy Act (which allows documents to be kept secret for long periods of time) the war cost Britain between £ 191 000 000 and £ 200 000 000.

That is some £ 170 billion in today's terms. Apparently, even the queen can go bankrupt.

Now to put this in perspective with other wars. The Anglo Boer War cost Britain more than the cost of the Second World War (to Britain) and some 40 to 50 times the estimated total cost of the Gulf War.

The queen obviously got the message through to her Freemasons in the veld that the piggy bank was running empty. The British Freemasons conveyed the message to the Boer Freemasons and the Treaty of Vereeniging was on the cards.

The Boer War by Thomas Pakenham, quotes from Lord Milner' diary;

"One can only entrust this agenda to the highest initiates..."

Even though Pakenham himself does not dig deeper into this statement, Milner is referring to the Freemasons as the *highest initiates*.

Did you ever wonder why Smuts and Botha did a complete 180? They were in the veld as bitterenders, and suddenly started advocating peace so fervently.

The Treaty of Vereeniging

Just sign on the dotted line...

The Treaty of Vereeniging was a peace treaty, signed on 31 May 1902. It concluded the Second Boer War between the South African Republic (including the Orange Free State) and the United Kingdom.

The signatories were;

Sir Alfred Milner (UK)
Lord Kitchener (UK)
Schalk Willem Burger (Transvaal)
Francis William Reitz (Transvaal)
Louis Botha(Transvaal)
Koos de la Rey (Transvaal)
Lucas Johannes Meyer (Transvaal)
Johannes Christoffel Krogh (Transvaal)
Christiaan de Wet (Orange Free State)
J. B. M. Hertzog (Orange Free State)
C. H. Olivier (Orange Free State)
W. C. J. Bebner (Orange Free State).

It was basically the day the country was sold into slavery to her majesty. Thus, the web of total control was spun by means of unholy treaty between fellows of the same secretive organization.

According to Zoutpansberger all of the signatories of the treaty were, surprise, surprise, Freemasons!

In the boastful fashion Zoutpansberger admits:

"It is said that when the Vereeniging peace treaty was signed to mark the end of the Anglo Boer War, the British and Boer leaders all gathered around a table. Without exception all of them were Freemasons."

I could not verify all of the names as legitimate Freemasons, but a quick fact check confirms the majority of signatories were in fact Freemasons.

End of the war, beginning of the GODvernment

The Boer republics laid their weapons down and along with the idea of a free Boer State. They pledged their allegiance to the bloody British Crown and British GODvernment. I won't bore you with the details. You can look it up on the Wiki, if you enjoy the propaganda machine.

This would explain much of the questions that I had concerning the Anglo-Boer War, like;
Why were the Boers not clinical in eliminating the enemy when they had the British troops on the backfoot?

How did Winston Churchill escape the captivity of the Boers so easily?

How were the bittereinders persuaded?

How were weapons so readily accessible even supplied by the British to the Boers in some cases?

Why did Paul Kruger, a stubborn patriotic president, flee like a bad dog?

If the Boer generals were aware the British could not afford the war carry on another month, why was Smuts and Botha so over eager to muster up men to sign the peace treaty?

Giving the keys to the country over to the British was an inside job all along. Yes, to the the Empire, but more importantly opening a door for the powers of darkness.

Even though the majority of Boers were good hearted, top brass was not. Paul Kruger's generals, Botha and Smuts, were Freemasons. They had their affiliates down at the lodge with the British. Their loyalties were with the Freemasons and not with the Boers. Obviously, they had to pull off a sensational juggling act not to make their betrayal obvious. They had to 'fight' their buddies. But few Freemasons were harmed in the fabrication of the Anglo-Boer war. In some of their own resources the Masons brag about how that would not shoot at each other during wars.

I am aware that some would argue that Smuts and Botha were in fact political opposition in the coming years. But I hope that you can see they still probably *braaied* together over weekends at the Masonic Lodge. The voters, like today, had the illusion of choice. They would push the same agenda just with a different sauce.

I know most of my friends in the US already know that the narrative is being controlled. I pray that my fellow South Africans will take off the blinkers and see things for what they truly are. They have been lying to us and will continue to do so. They play dirty and have great experience in doing so. Do not participate in the magical rituals of fabricated reality. And by saying nothing, we do consent to Babylon and her sorceries.

Shalom

Edgar<>Judah